IN THE UNITE	Document 1 Filed 12/16/15 CD STATES DISTRICT COURT HERN DISTRICT OF NEW YOR	Page 1 of Fu.s. DISTRICT COURT - N.D. OF N.Y. FILED DEC 16 2015
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA v.	Criminal No. 8:15-CR-:) Indictment	Lawrence K. Baerman Clerk - Plattchurch

Violation(s): 18 U.S.C. §§ 1956(a)(2)(A) And 1956(h)

Laundering

Clinton

1 Count & Forfeiture Allegation

County of Offense:

Conspiracy to Commit Money

THE GRAND JURY CHARGES:

STEVENS LAINCY a/k/a "George

JAMIE MCCAULEY-FOY a/k/a

Defendants.

Peterson,"

"Jessyka," and

CARLOS BRENES,

COUNT 1 [Conspiracy to Commit Money Laundering]

Between in or about 2006 and in or about December, 2010, in Clinton County in the Northern District of New York, and elsewhere, the defendants,

STEVENS LAINCY a/k/a "George Peterson," JAMIE MCCAULEY-FOY a/k/a "Jessyka," and CARLOS BRENES

and others conspired to commit international money laundering, by transporting, transmitting, and transferring, and attempting to transport, transmit and transfer, one or more monetary instruments and funds from one or more places in the United States to and through one or more places outside the United States with the intent to promote the carrying on of specified unlawful activity, that is a business enterprise involving prostitution, in violation of the laws of the State of New York, in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Sections 1956(a)(2)(A).

FORFEITURE ALLEGATION

- 1. The allegations contained Count One of this Indictment are hereby realleged and incorporated by reference for the purpose of alleging forfeitures pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Section 982(a)(1).
- 2. Upon conviction of the offense in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1956 set forth in Count One of this Indictment, the defendants,

STEVENS LAINCY a/k/a "George Peterson," JAMIE MCCAULEY-FOY a/k/a "Jessyka," and CARLOS BRENES

shall forfeit to the United States of America, pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Sections 981(a)(1)(A), any property constituting, or derived from, proceeds obtained directly or indirectly, as a result of such violation. The property to be forfeited includes, but is not limited to, the following:

MONEY JUDGMENT

- A) A money judgment in the amount of no less than \$2,900,000.00 in U.S. Currency.
- 1. If any of the property described above, as a result of any act or omission of the defendants:
 - a. cannot be located upon the exercise of due diligence;
 - b. has been transferred or sold to, or deposited with, a third party;
 - c. has been placed beyond the jurisdiction of the court;
 - d. has been substantially diminished in value; or
 - e. has been commingled with other property which cannot be divided without difficulty,

the United States of America shall be entitled to forfeiture of substitute property pursuant to Title 21, United States Code, Section 853(p), as incorporated by Title 18, United States Code, Sections 982(b)(1) and 1028(g), and Title 28, United States Code, Section 2461(c).

Dated: December 16, 2015

A TRUE BILL,

*name redacted

Grand Jury Foreperson

RICHARD S. HARTUNIAN United States Attorney

By:

Douglas Collyer

Assistant United States Attorney

Bar Roll No. 519096

By:

Katherine Kopita

Assistant United States Attorney

Bar Roll No. 517944